PART D - ADDITIONAL CONSEQUENCE INFORMATION	
1. Class Location of Incident :	Class 3 Location
2. Estimated Property Damage :	
2a. Estimated cost of public and non-Operator private property damage paid/reimbursed by the Operator – effective 6-2011, "paid/reimbursed by the Operator" removed	$ 88,500
Estimated cost of gas released – effective 6-2011, moved to item 2f	
2b. Estimated cost of Operator's property damage & repairs	$ 16,565
2c. Estimated cost of Operator's emergency response	$ 200
2d. Estimated other costs	$ 0
- Describe:	
2e. Property damage subtotal (sum of above)	$ 105,265
Cost of Gas Released	
2f. Estimated cost of gas released	$ 60
Total of all costs	$ 105,325
3. Estimated number of customers out of service:	
3a. Commercial entities	0
3b. Industrial entities	0
3c. Residences	0

PART E - ADDITIONAL OPERATING INFORMATION	
1. Estimated pressure at the point and time of the Incident (psig):	43.00
2. Normal operating pressure at the point and time of the Incident (psig):	45.00
3. Maximum Allowable Operating Pressure (MAOP) at the point and time of the Incident (psig):	60.00
4. Describe the pressure on the system relating to the Incident:	Pressure did not exceed MAOP
5. Was a Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) based system in place on the pipeline or facility involved in the Incident?	No
- If Yes:	
5a. Was it operating at the time of the Incident?	
5b. Was it fully functional at the time of the Incident?	
5c. Did SCADA-based information (such as alarm(s), alert(s), event(s), and/or volume or pack calculations) assist with the detection of the Incident?	
5d. Did SCADA-based information (such as alarm(s), alert(s), event(s), and/or volume calculations) assist with the confirmation of the Incident?	
6. How was the Incident initially identified for the Operator?	Notification from Emergency Responder
- If Other, Specify:	
6a. If "Controller", "Local Operating Personnel, including contractors", "Air Patrol", or "Ground Patrol by Operator or its contractor" is selected in Question 6, specify.	
7. Was an investigation initiated into whether or not the controller(s) or control room issues were the cause of or a contributing factor to the Incident?	No, the facility was not monitored by a controller(s) at the time of the Incident
- If "No, the operator did not find that an investigation of the controller(s) actions or control room issues was necessary due to:" *(provide an explanation for why the operator did not investigate)*	
- If Yes, Specify investigation result(s) *(select all that apply)*:	
- Investigation reviewed work schedule rotations, continuous hours of service (while working for the Operator), and other factors associated with fatigue	
- Investigation did NOT review work schedule rotations, continuous hours of service (while working for the Operator), and other factors associated with fatigue	
- Provide an explanation for why not:	
- Investigation identified no control room issues	
- Investigation identified no controller issues	
- Investigation identified incorrect controller action or controller error	
- Investigation identified that fatigue may have affected the controller(s) involved or impacted the involved controller(s) response	
- Investigation identified incorrect procedures	
- Investigation identified incorrect control room equipment operation	
- Investigation identified maintenance activities that affected control room operations, procedures, and/or controller response	
- Investigation identified areas other than those above	
Describe:	