



October 12, 2020

Due Date: November 23, 2020



PO Box 88, Hahira, GA 31632  
850-290-2350  
[wwalswatershed@gmail.com](mailto:wwalswatershed@gmail.com)  
[www.wwals.net](http://www.wwals.net)

WWALS is an IRS 501(c)(3) nonprofit  
charity est. June 2012

*WWALS Watershed Coalition advocates for conservation and stewardship of the Withlacoochee, Willacoochee, Alapaha, Little, Santa Fe, and Suwannee River watersheds in south Georgia and north Florida through education, awareness, environmental monitoring, and citizen activities.*

*Suwannee RIVERKEEPER® is a program and a paid staff position of WWALS.*



To: Ms. Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street, N.E.  
Washington, DC 20426  
[kimberly.bose@ferc.gov](mailto:kimberly.bose@ferc.gov)

Cc: Toyia Johnson  
FERC FOIA Public Liaison  
[foia-ceii@ferc.gov](mailto:foia-ceii@ferc.gov)  
202-502-6088

Re: Freedom of Information Act Request about **New Fortress Energy, Miami, Florida**, for copy of PETITION FOR DECLARATORY ORDER or ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE, as well as any responses to either and any records of meetings between FERC and NFE about that Miami facility

Dear Ms. Bose and Ms. Johnson:

This FOIA request is about an LNG facility located at 6800 NW 72<sup>nd</sup> Street, Miami, Florida, not in Puerto Rico. The FERC FOIA office in its response of September 28, 2020, seemed confused about our previous FOIA request, so here is another one to clarify. We are well aware of FERC's Show Cause Order to New Fortress Energy (NFE) about NFE's Puerto Rico operations.<sup>1</sup> That is **not** the Miami NFE facility that is the subject of our FOIA inquiry. We are also aware of NFE's response to FERC's SHOW CAUSE about Puerto Rico, which claims that FERC met in October 2017 with NFE about its Puerto Rico operations, and which includes a letter from the government of Puerto Rico.<sup>2</sup> We expand our FOIA request about NFE's Miami facility to include any responses by NFE or the state of Florida and any records of meetings of FERC with NFE about its Miami facility.

Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) outlined in Title 5 of the U.S. Code, Section 552, as amended in 2007, John S. Quarterman on behalf of WWALS Watershed Coalition, Inc., an IRS 501(c)(3) educational nonprofit corporation, hereby requests, regarding the New Fortress Energy Liquid Natural Gas (LNG) facility located at 6800 NW 72<sup>nd</sup> Street, Miami, Florida, a copy of the *Petition for Declaratory Order* that was filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("Commission") according to 18 C.F.R. §385.207(a)(2) (2020) seeking a determination as to the Commission's jurisdiction under Section 3 or Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 717b, 717f (2018), or a Commission Order to Show Cause for why none has been filed. WWALS also requests copies of any response by FERC to that petition, of any responses by NFE or the state of Florida to that SHOW CAUSE, and any records of any meetings between FERC and NFE about that Miami facility.

I am willing to pay a reasonable fee, although I do not see why there should be much cost for emailing a PDF of the requested Petition for Declaratory Order or Commission Order to Show Cause.

I am requesting this information in order to inform the public about a matter of public safety.

The requested documents should be regarding the following Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) export

<sup>1</sup> *ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE to New Fortress Energy*, 171 FERC ¶ 61,230 (June 18, 2020), FERC Docket No. CP20-466, <https://www.ferc.gov/sites/default/files/2020-06/C-4-061820.pdf>, Accession Number: 20200618-3051, [https://elibrary.ferc.gov/eLibrary/docinfo?document\\_id=14870020](https://elibrary.ferc.gov/eLibrary/docinfo?document_id=14870020)

<sup>2</sup> *ANSWER OF NEW FORTRESS ENERGY LLC TO THE ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND MOTION FOR EXPEDITED ACTION*, New Fortress Energy LLC, FERC Docket No. CP20-466, Accession No. 20200720-5156, [https://elibrary.ferc.gov/eLibrary/docinfo?document\\_id=14878068](https://elibrary.ferc.gov/eLibrary/docinfo?document_id=14878068)

facility:

**Name of Facility:** New Fortress Energy a/k/a NFE; American LNG Marketing; LNG Holdings (Florida) LLC; and Miami LNG (the “Facility”)

**Parent Company:** Fortress Investment Group

**Location:** 6800 NW 72<sup>nd</sup> Street, Miami, Florida (under 13-acre site adjacent to densely populated Miami Springs, Florida, neighborhood)

Please see the map below, obtained from the Miami-Dade County, Florida Property Appraiser, [https://www.miamidade.gov/global/service.page?Mduid\\_service=ser1469481154653654](https://www.miamidade.gov/global/service.page?Mduid_service=ser1469481154653654)

via search for the address, 6800 NW 72nd St., Miami, which finds Folio: 30-3014-001-0172.

Clearly this LNG facility for production, storage, and distribution for export is in a densely populated area, which is a public safety issue.

Primary Land Use	9163 UTILITY : UTILITY
Beds / Baths / Half	0 / 0 / 0
Floors	1
Living Units	0
Actual Area	3,592 Sq.Ft
Living Area	3,592 Sq.Ft
Adjusted Area	3,592 Sq.Ft
Lot Size	551,470 Sq.Ft
Year Built	2015

**Liquefaction Trains:** 1

**LNG Liquefaction:** 8,260,000 Cubic Feet/Day

**Onsite Storage Capacity:** 22,302,000 Cubic Feet

**LNG Storage at the Dock:** We do not know if there are special holding areas at the docks for the loaded LNG ISO containers or where/how the empty containers are degasified and stored between uses.

**Boil-Off Gas:** We do not know how boil-off gas and vapors are being handled at the Facility or whether boil-off gas is being flared.

**LNG Distribution:** LNG is transported from the Facility by truck and/or rail in 10,000-gallon ISO Containers that are loaded onto to roll-on/roll-off ships at Port of Miami and Port

Everglades, Florida. Destinations include both Free Trade Agreement (FTA) and non-FTA nations, e.g. Barbados, Haiti and the Bahamas, and the Facility now has the ability to transport LNG in 30,000-gallon Rail Tank Cars.

**Date of First LNG Export from the Facility:** February 5, 2016

**Pipeline Connections:** While the Facility has both liquefaction and onsite storage capacity, we do not know if there are any pipeline interconnections from the Facility to the storage tanks or from the ISO containers to any local distribution company (LDC) pipelines. There is potential that the LNG loaded into ISO containers at the Facility and then transported by truck and/or rail to waterborne vessels could ultimately be regasified and delivered into an LDC pipeline to meet the LDC's peaking or other needs.

**FERC Docket Number:** We have not been able to find a relevant FERC docket number, and we are mystified as to why there does not seem to be one.

New Fortress Energy (the "Facility") is a "natural gas facility" according to the traditional definition. "The Commission's jurisdiction under section 3 of the Natural Gas Act extends to export facilities, not merely "LNG terminals." The two are not identical. Under section 2(11), "LNG terminal" is defined to include facilities used for import, export, or interstate commerce. An LNG terminal is simply one type of export facility. There is no evidence to suggest that Congress sought to limit export facilities to "coastal LNG terminals that are accessible to oceangoing, bulk-carrier LNG tankers and that are connected to pipelines that deliver gas to or take gas away from the terminal."<sup>3</sup>

The Commission disclaimed jurisdiction over small-scale inland LNG export facilities without a formal Rulemaking process where FERC's authority under the NGA was delegated to other federal agencies. As a result, the Commission created a regulatory gap, and without a mandate requiring the filing of a *Petition for Declaratory Order*, there is great potential for a developer to circumvent the Commission's jurisdiction. For example, selling LNG to gas markets that can only be reached by truck and/or rail, where the gas can be reinjected into LDC systems, circumvents Section 7 jurisdiction.

Regulatory agencies create regulations according to rules and processes defined by the Administrative Procedure Act (APA). The APA defines a "rule" or "regulation" as... "[T]he whole or a part of an agency statement of general or particular applicability and future effect designed to implement, interpret, or prescribe law or policy or describing the organization, procedure, or practice requirements of an agency. The Commission should answer the question of federal jurisdiction for proposed small-scale inland LNG export projects as opposed to developers self-determining jurisdiction, e.g. Strom, Inc., Crystal River, Florida.

There was no comprehensive regulatory regime that governed the construction and operation of the NFE facility. Further, the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) does not have Congressional authority under the Natural Gas Act to approve the siting, construction, operation and maintenance of LNG export facilities. There is no other federal, state or local agency claiming jurisdiction over the Miami LNG export operation. The Facility skirted National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) review by the U. S. Department of

---

<sup>3</sup> *Pivotal LNG, Inc.*, 151 FERC ¶ 61,006, (2 April 2015), Norman Bay, Commissioner, dissenting, in FERC Docket No. RP15-259-000.

Energy by claiming a B5.7 Categorical Exclusion<sup>4</sup> that the Facility was not eligible to claim. The Building Permit for the Facility was waived. In response to a FOIA request, the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) has advised that there are no models, documents or communications on file anywhere that demonstrate that this Facility has complied with the *Federal Safety Standards for LNG Facilities* and, in particular, CFR Title 49, Part 193.2057 and 193.2059.

Commission jurisdiction over the Facility would be in accordance with Section 3 of the Natural Gas Act that states, “no person shall export any natural gas from the United States to a foreign country or import any natural gas from a foreign country without first having secured an order of the Commission authorizing it to do so.” In the event no such *Petition for Declaratory Order* exists, please furnish a copy of the Commission’s issuance of an order directing New Fortress Energy to “show cause” as to why their New Fortress Energy Miami LNG export facility is not subject to the Commission’s jurisdiction under Section 3 of the NGA. WWALS also requests copies of any response by FERC to such that petition, of any responses by NFE or the state of Florida to that SHOW CAUSE, and any records of any meetings between FERC and NFE about that Miami facility.

Thank you for your consideration.

For the rivers and the aquifer,

John S. Quarterman

Suwannee RIVERKEEPER®

/s

WWALS Watershed Coalition, Inc.

[contact@suwanneeriverkeeper.org](mailto:contact@suwanneeriverkeeper.org)

[www.suwanneeriverkeeper.org](http://www.suwanneeriverkeeper.org)

---

<sup>4</sup> “Categorical Exclusion Determinations: B5.7,” Department of Energy Office of NEPA Policy and Compliance, <https://www.energy.gov/nepa/categorical-exclusion-determinations-b57>