

facilities, because of those earlier FERC decisions. Eagle LNG has not cited any FERC cases in which the issues we demonstrate in the present document have been considered, especially not the far-reaching consequences across many LNG sites and affected areas of the regulatory gap FERC opened in 2014 and 2015.

The purpose of the present document and of the Petition is not to litigate the outcome of a Rulemaking. It is to advocate opening a Rulemaking in which the reasons for revisiting, reconsidering, and possibly revoking those previous FERC decisions can be discussed.

C. Conclusion: FERC should issue a NOPR

For the foregoing reasons, the Commission should issue a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (“NOPR”) to revisit, reconsider, and ideally revoke its previous decisions against oversight of inland LNG facilities, in order to address the economic, environmental, and safety problems caused by those previous decisions, thus closing the significant and unnecessary gap FERC created in its own jurisdiction.

Respectfully submitted this 17th day of October, 2022.

John S. Quarterman, Suwannee RIVERKEEPER®
/s
WWALS Watershed Coalition, Inc.
PO Box 88, Hahira, GA 31632
850-290-2350
wwalswatershed@gmail.com
www.wwals.net