

1. 49 CFR § 193.2059 – Flammable vapor-gas dispersion protection.
2. Anderson, RT, “Quantitative Analysis of Factors Affecting Railroad Accident Probability and Severity,” Master’s Thesis in Civil Engineering at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign (2005).
3. Appendix B: Survey of Worldwide Risk Criteria Applications, *Guidelines for Developing Quantitative Safety Risk Criteria*. Center for Chemical Process Safety, AIChE (2009).
4. “B.1 Evolution of Land Use Planning Criteria in the UK,” in Guidelines for Developing Quantitative Safety Risk Criteria, American Institute of Chemical Engineers, Center for Chemical Process Safety (2009).
5. Carnival, *Cruise Ships*, <http://www.carnival.com/cruise-ships.aspx>.
6. Cornwell JB and MM Meyer, “Risk Acceptance Criteria or ‘How Safe is Safe Enough?’,” presented at II Risk Control Seminar in Puerto La Cruz, Venezuela, October 13, 1997.
7. Exponent report titled: “HAZID Study Report, Florida East Coast Railway Dual-Fuel Locomotive LNG Tender Project,” issued June 23, 2014.
8. Exponent report titled: “HAZID Study Report, Florida East Coast Railway Dual-Fuel Locomotive LNG Tender Project, Updated to Reflect Chart LNG Tender” issued January 2, 2015.
9. Exponent report titled: “Integration HAZID Study Report, Florida East Coast Railway Dual-Fuel Locomotive and LNG Tender Project,” issued December 12, 2014.
10. Failure Rate and Event Data for use within Risk Assessments, UK Health and Safety Executive (June 28, 2012).
11. Florida Geographic Data Library (FGDL) <http://www.fgd.org>.
12. Guidance for Preparing an Application under Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations Section 174.63 for Approval by the Federal Railroad Administration to Transport Liquefied Natural Gas by Rail in Portable Tanks.
13. *Guideline for Quantitative Risk Assessment* (Dutch Purple Book), Publication Series on Dangerous Substances, Ministerie van Verkeer en Waterstaat (2005).
14. *Guideline for Quantitative Risk Assessment, Part Two: Transport* (Dutch Purple Book), Publication Series on Dangerous Substances, Ministerie van Verkeer en Waterstaat (2005).
15. Ham JM, M Struckl, AM Heikkila, E Krausmann, C DiMauro, M Christou, JP Nordvik, “Comparison of Risk Analysis Methods and Development of a Template for Risk Characterisation,” Institute for the Protection and Security of the Citizen, European Commission, Directorate-General Joint Research Center (2006).
16. Accessible via hazmatonline.phmsa.dot.gov/IncidentReportsSearch/search.aspx.
 - a. Pressure tank car incidents and release rates, as of November 14, 2014.
17. Jeong DY. Probabilistic Approach to Conditional Probability of Release of Hazardous Materials from Railroad Tank Cars During Accidents, Proceedings of IMECE2009,