

Instead of the detailed BCA, the LMS Working Group outlined the prioritization process reviewed that would cover both monetary and non-monetary benefits associated with each project as part of the Benefit-Cost Review. Projects can receive a higher point value depending upon the overall benefits for Bradford County, instead of the project’s cost effective.

Criteria Category Ranking Matrix for the Bradford County Mitigation Projects

Bradford County Local Mitigation Strategy Project Priority Ranking Matrix

Criteria Category	5	4	3	2	1	0
The percentage of the population benefited	80-100% of the population benefited	60-79% of the population benefited	40-59% of the population benefited	20-39% of the population benefited	10-38% of population benefited	0-9% of population benefited
The percentage of the affected area benefited	80-100% of the jurisdictions population	60-79% of the jurisdictions population	40-59% of the jurisdictions population	20-39% of the jurisdictions population	10-38% of the jurisdictions population	0-9% of the jurisdictions population
Health and safety considerations – countywide	Benefits the health & safety of between 80-100% of population	Benefits the health & safety of between 60-79% of population	Benefits the health & safety of between 40-59% of population	Benefits the health & safety of between 20-39% of population	Benefits the health & safety of between 10-38% of population	Benefits the health & safety of between 0-9% of population
The cost of implementing the initiative	No quantifiable cost to implement	Cost estimated at less than \$200,000	Cost estimated between \$300,000 and \$200,000	Cost estimated between \$500,000 and \$300,000	Cost estimated between \$1,000,000 and \$500,000	Cost estimated at over \$1,000,000
The benefit to cost ratios(FEMA)	More than 5.5	Between 4.6 and 5.4	Between 3.6 and 4.5	Between 2.1 and 3.5	Between 1.1 and 2.0	Between .5 and 1.0
The probability of community wide acceptance	Likely to be endorsed by the entire community	Of benefit only to those directly affected and would not adversely affect others	Would be slightly controversial with special interest groups or a small percentage of the community	Would be strongly opposed by special interest groups or a significant percentage of the community	Would be strongly opposed by nearly all of the general population	Would be strongly opposed by nearly all of the general population and have possible negative affects to community
The probability of funding	Funding can most likely be obtained through local short term budgeting	Funding can probably be obtained through local short term budgeting	Funding could possibly be obtained through long term budgeting	Funding could be obtained through local match	The most likely funding source is post disaster mitigation funds	No potential funding sources readily apparent or available
The feasibility of implementation and environmental acceptability	Relatively easy to put in place within 1 year and environmentally sound	Not anticipated to be difficult to put into place and environmentally sound	Somewhat difficult to put in place due to complex requirements and/or environmental considerations	Difficult to put in place because of significant complex requirements and environmental permitting	Very difficult to put in place due to extremely complex requirements and environmental permitting	Extremely difficult to put in place due to extreme complexity and/or environmentally unsound
Consistency with other plans and programs	Initiative is included in several other plans and programs	Initiative is included in at least one other plan or program	Initiative is included in two other plans and programs	Initiative is included in one other plan and program	Initiative is not listed in any other plan and program	Initiative may be inconsistent with other plans and programs
Timeframe for accomplishing	1 year	18 months – 2 years	Over 2 years but not more than 3 years	Over 3 years but less than 4 years	Over 4 years but less than 5 years	Greater than 5 years
Ranking priority	Required	Necessary	Very Important	Important	Somewhat Important	Not important

Bradford County Mitigation Projects

Attachment I contains the mitigation project lists (current, ongoing, deferred, completed and deleted). The mitigation projects or initiatives are action items for the identified hazards in Section 4, and addresses the reduction of hazards on new as well as existing buildings and infrastructure. They are as follows:

- The *new, ongoing, and deferred* mitigation projects - (the deferred projects remain active and will be pursued as funding sources are identified or priorities change due to disaster events).
- The mitigation projects that have been *completed* over the last five years.
- The mitigation projects that have been removed or deleted.