

<p>The costs of administering and enforcing the refuge’s hunting program will be done through Georgia DNR. Ongoing coordination and communication between refuge staff and law enforcement officers is conducted throughout the year.</p>	<p>No additional increase in costs for administration, law enforcement, biological monitoring and research, or annual maintenance is anticipated.  <b>Alternative B:</b> A minor increase in annual Law Enforcement and Visitor Services is anticipated.</p>
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Table 5. Affected Socioeconomics and Anticipated Impacts

<p><b>SOCIOECONOMICS</b></p>	
<p><b>AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT</b></p>	<p><b>ANTICIPATED DIRECT AND INDIRECT IMPACTS</b></p>
<p><b>Local and regional economies</b>  The refuge is located approximately two miles from the city of Lakeland, Georgia. Major economic activities include construction, manufacturing (furniture), retail, accommodation/food services, agriculture, and forestry (Georgia Department of Labor 2006). Land use is primarily evergreen forest, forested wetland, agriculture, clearcut, and urban (University of Georgia 2007). Refuge visitation averages 20,000 visitors annually.</p>	<p><b>Alternative A:</b>  There will be no additional impact to the local economy beyond continued revenue generated from existing opportunities.  <b>Alternative B:</b>  We anticipate an increase in visitation and expenditure for the additional species proposed. This will result in a minor impact to the local economy.</p>
<p><b>ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE</b></p>	
<p>Executive Order 12898, Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-</p>	<p><b>Alternative A and B:</b>  The Service has not identified any potential high and adverse environmental or human health impacts from this preferred action or any of the alternatives. The Service has</p>