

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

accelerates vertical seepage, leading to peat and sulfur oxidation that leads to  
contaminant mobilization. Swamp hydrology and chemistry, therefore, may respond not  
only to surface water fluctuations but also to subsurface pressure gradients.

**4.5 Management considerations**

Our findings provide a new understanding of hydraulic connectivity between the  
Okefenokee Swamp and the Floridan Aquifer, which should inform future water  
resource management and environmental protection in the region. For instance,  
proposals for heavy mineral sand mining near Trail Ridge or large-scale groundwater  
pumping in the vicinity should be evaluated with the knowledge that the swamp is not an  
isolated “bathtub,” but rather a leaky reservoir intimately linked to the Floridan Aquifer. A  
stress to one will affect the other. Previous environmental assessments may have  
underestimated swamp vulnerability by assuming the confining layer prevents any  
aquifer-surface water interaction. Our data suggest any activity that lowers Floridan  
Aquifer levels (such as pumping) could induce greater vertical flow from the swamp,  
potentially dewatering it. Conversely, extreme high water in the swamp (e.g., after  
hurricanes) will recharge the aquifer significantly, which could be beneficial for aquifer  
storage. This interplay should be incorporated into hydrologic models and management  
plans. In quantitative terms, the swamp’s leakage could represent on the order of 5–  
15% of annual rainfall (~50–150 mm/yr out of ~1300 mm/yr) if our flux estimates are  
scaled across the swamp – a non-trivial quantity that should be factored into water  
budgets.

**4.6 Uncertainties and future work**

While our study demonstrates vertical hydraulic connectivity, there remain uncertainties  
regarding the spatial variability and controls of the leakage. It is still unclear whether  
exchange is dominantly diffuse and uniform or concentrated along preferential  
flowpaths—perhaps beneath prairie depressions, forested slough margins, or  
reactivated tectonic features. Recharge studies elsewhere show that such distinctions  
are often climate- and geology-dependent: as Cuthbert et al (2019) observed across  
sub-Saharan Africa, humid settings tend toward diffuse infiltration through soils,  
whereas semi-arid landscapes rely increasingly on focused recharge via ephemeral  
surface flows and topographic lows.

Our 2025 isotope results already hint at analogous heterogeneity here—some wells  
showing markedly higher swamp fractions than others—suggesting that vertical  
connectivity may be organized around localized “hot spots” of permeability rather than a  
spatially uniform leak. Mapping the confining layer’s integrity using geophysical methods  
or exploratory borings could help identify such zones of focused exchange.